The Honorable John H. Chun 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, No. 2:23-cv-0932-JHC 9 Plaintiff, STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING 10 v. **DEPOSITIONS BY REMOTE MEANS** 11 AMAZON.COM, INC., et al., NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR: 12 Defendants. September 23, 2024 13 14 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 29(a), Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission 15 ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Amazon.com, Inc., Neil Lindsay, Russell Grandinetti, and Jamil 16 Ghani ("Defendants") agree to the following protocols regarding remote depositions in this 17 18 matter, and respectfully request that the Court enter the proposed Order below approving these 19 protocols: 20 I. **GENERAL PRINCIPLES** 21 This Stipulation shall govern all depositions taken by Remote Means in A. 22 this matter. "Remote Means" shall include depositions taken by (a) telephone, or (b) 23 videoconferencing platforms that allow the deponent, the deponent's counsel, Parties, Plaintiff's 24 25 counsel, Defendants' counsel, the court reporter, and videographer to participate in the 26 deposition without attending the deposition in-person. STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER Federal Trade Commission REGARDING REMOTE DEPOSITIONS 600 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Case No. 2:23-cv-0932-JHC - 1 Washington, DC 20580

(202) 326-3320

- B. In addition, this Stipulation shall be provided to any non-party witness or such non-party witness's counsel concurrently with the service of any subpoena that requests that a non-party deposition be taken by Remote Means to determine whether said non-party agrees to the provisions of this Stipulation.
- C. Unless otherwise stated, this Stipulation is not intended to alter, expand, or limit the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Federal Rules of Evidence, Local Rules, foreign laws, international treaties, and/or court orders.

II. PROCEDURES FOR TAKING DEPOSITIONS BY REMOTE MEANS

- A. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 29(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(4), counsel for the party requesting a deposition in this case ("Deposing Counsel") may subpoena or notice the deposition to occur by Remote Means, and the deposition shall occur by Remote Means unless the witness who will be deposed or another party objects to the deposition occurring by Remote Means within 7 days of service of the subpoena or notice. If the witness who will be deposed or another party objects to the deposition occurring by Remote Means, then the deposition shall not proceed by Remote Means absent agreement of all parties and the witness.
- B. The deposing party will disclose the name of the court reporting agency and virtual platform to be used at least one week in advance of the deposition. Login information will be provided to all parties no later than 24 hours prior to the start of the deposition.
- C. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(2), Deposing Counsel shall be responsible for ensuring that any exhibits that they wish to mark and use at the deposition are made available in hard copy form to the deponent and counsel for the deponent as set forth herein. The deposing party and any other party who intends to use exhibits during the deposition shall deliver complete copies of all potential exhibits to be used to the deponent or counsel for the deponent

so that they are received no later than the day prior to the deposition. Each exhibit shall be in
hard copy in a separate, numbered envelope or binder, which may be sealed. In lieu of sending
hard copies, the deposing party and any other party who intends to use exhibits during the
deposition may arrange to send electronic copies of each exhibit to a paralegal or administrative
assistant for the deponent's counsel at least 36 hours prior to the start of the deposition. The
paralegal or administrative assistant shall print hard copies of each exhibit and seal them in
separate, numbered envelopes or binders. Except as provided in paragraph II.D, parties are
prohibited from using any exhibits or other documents not provided by this deadline. Complete
copies of exhibits must also be made available to the court reporter and other attendees of the
deposition by the time they are used in the deposition, either in hard copy or electronic form.
Hard copy exhibits provided in sealed envelopes or other containers and electronic copies
transmitted to a paralegal or administrative assistant for printing may not be opened or reviewed
by the deponent or counsel for the deponent until instructed to do so by the questioning attorney.
Furthermore, paralegals or administrative assistants handling electronic copy exhibits shall not
disclose the content of the copies including, but not limited to, the bates number, title, sender, or
addresses of the electronic copy exhibit to the deponent or counsel for the deponent. Any unused
sealed envelopes shall be destroyed and electronic copies of exhibits transmitted to a paralegal or
administrative assistant for printing shall be deleted upon completion of the deposition.

D. If counsel conducting the witness examination for any party did not anticipate using an exhibit and therefore could not provide a copy of the exhibit in advance of the deposition consistent with the requirements of paragraph II.C. or the exhibit exists natively in an electronic form that cannot be reasonably printed, counsel may present the exhibit electronically using a shared screen. An exhibit provided only electronically in this manner must be available

to the deponent to review in its entirety, and the witness must be afforded the independent ability

to control manipulation of the document (scrolling, etc.) to facilitate that review. Full electronic

5

8 9

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

copies of the exhibit must be transmitted to the court reporter and all other attendees, and received by them, before questioning begins on the exhibit. The parties recognize that use of electronic-only exhibits should not become routine practice, and will use best efforts to provide hard copies of all exhibits consistent with the procedures above. E. As used in Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)(1)(A), the "place of examination" is the location of the deponent. A court reporter may administer an oath concerning a deposition via Remote

reporter, even if the court reporter is not a notary public in the state where the deponent resides. Nothing in this paragraph shall be read to modify the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, foreign laws, or international treaties relating to depositions taken in foreign countries.

Means. The Parties agree not to challenge the validity of any oath administered by the court

- F. Deposing Counsel and the deponent's counsel shall meet, confer, and cooperate to ensure that the deponent has technology sufficient to attend the deposition via Remote Means. Any party may object to a deposition occurring by Remote Means if the deponent is unable to secure technology that is sufficient.
- G. All depositions occurring by Remote Means will be stenographically recorded by a court reporter with real-time feed capabilities. The deponent, the deponent's lawyer, and the questioning attorney must have a webcam-equipped device (such as a desktop, laptop, or tablet), and a device with audio capabilities or access to a telephone for calling into the deposition, and will allow themselves to be seen and heard at all times during the deposition. Unless directed otherwise by the court reporter, all other attendees will turn video off such that their names appear instead of their images (but they may view the video feed of the deposition). Counsel for

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING REMOTE DEPOSITIONS Case No. 2:23-cv-0932-JHC - 5

the deponent shall be responsible for ensuring that the deponent has access to the required equipment on the day of the deposition and that any equipment checks or tests (as instructed by the deposition services vendor) have been completed prior to the deposition. Noticing counsel are not responsible for the quality or functionality of the video and audio stream for the deponent or other participants.

- H. For a deposition that is proceeding via Remote Means, the deponents will be made available for deposition by video. Individuals who are otherwise permitted to attend a deposition under the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Federal Rules of Evidence, the Protective Order (Dkt. 124), and/or court orders, may attend in-person a deposition that is noticed to occur by Remote Means subject to the requirements in paragraph II.J.
- I. Deposing Counsel is responsible for ensuring that the Remote Means utilized for a deposition allows the court reporter to accurately record the deponent's testimony. Either Deposing Counsel or the deponent's counsel may elect to have a technical specialist attend a deposition taken by Remote Means to ensure that technical issues are resolved in a timely manner.
- J. Every person attending the deposition shall be identified on the record at the commencement of the deposition or upon later entry. Persons attending by telephone must identify themselves by name and telephone number. Under no circumstances may persons attend the deposition virtually in any manner without identifying themselves on the record at the commencement of the deposition or upon later entry.
- K. In addition to the provisions set forth above, each participant should attend from a quiet location. All attendees other than the deponent, court reporter, deposing attorney, and objecting attorney will set their audio connection to mute to avoid unintentional noise.

- L. Should technical issues materially interfere with the Parties' ability to take any deposition pursuant to this Order on the scheduled date and such technical issues cannot be remedied in a timely manner, the deponent's counsel, Plaintiff's counsel, Defendants' counsel, and pro se Defendants shall meet, confer, and reasonably cooperate with one another regarding the rescheduling of the deposition.
- M. In the event the deponent, the deponent's lawyer, or the questioning attorney's video feed is interrupted or otherwise becomes hidden from view, the deposition will be suspended, and the parties will go back on the record only when that person's video stream functionality has been restored. Disruptions due to video streaming phone line interruption, or other technical problems shall be not be counted against record time.
- N. No participant other than the court-reporting agency and videographer (after appropriate notice) may record by video, photograph, or audio any of the proceedings. This shall include using any form of virtual transmitting device, computer recording device, laptops, camera, and personal device, including smart phones, tablets, iPads, Androids, iPhones, Blackberries, or other PDAs. Nothing in this provision prevents or limits the taking of notes by those identified on the record.
- O. All objections to the use and admissibility of the transcript or video of a deposition taken pursuant to this Stipulations based on the fact that the deposition was taken by Remote Means are hereby waived by the Parties except as otherwise provided herein.
- P. The Parties agree not to oppose reasonable accommodations to allow appropriate conferences during breaks, as well as communications between co-counsel during the deposition.

16 17

18

20

19

22

21

23 24

25

26

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING REMOTE DEPOSITIONS Case No. 2:23-cv-0932-JHC - 7

Q. All persons attending depositions taken pursuant to this Stipulation shall ensure that they can do so in a space that is relatively free from distractions that would inhibit the course of the deposition.

- R. While on the record, the deponent will not use any communication device other than those to facilitate the deposition. While on the record, the deponent will not privately confer with anyone between a question and an answer except for the purpose of determining the existence and questions of privilege or to the extent otherwise permitted by any applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Federal Rules of Evidence, Local Rules, and/or court orders, as long as the reason for that conferral is stated on the record. This stipulation does not govern the conduct of anyone while not on the record.
- S. While on the record, no one will communicate with the deponent outside of the video/audio stream except as permitted in paragraph II.R. This includes, but is not limited to, instant messaging, text messaging, or any equivalent. In the event any such communications occur, the parties agree that the communications are discoverable. For the absence of doubt, this provision does not cover communications sent to the deponent while on the record but that the deponent did not receive while on the record. Nor does this provision make personal communications unrelated to the deposition or substance of lawsuit discoverable.
- T. If the witness reviews notes or any documents other than a marked exhibit during questioning, and the witness's counsel is aware of that review, the witness's counsel shall provide an electronic copy of the notes or other materials to Deposing Counsel to place into the record as an exhibit to the deposition.
- U. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this Stipulation prohibits any witness, party, or non-party, or any counsel for any witness, party or non-party, from objecting to specific

ļ	
1	d
2	c
3	p
4	r
5	p
6	S
7	
8	n
9	r
10	c
11	r
12	c
13	l p
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

24

25

26

depositions occurring by Remote Means including, but not limited to, on the grounds that having counsel physically separate from the witness would constitute a violation of the witness's, the party's, or the non-party's due process rights. Such objections shall be raised promptly after receipt of the deposition notice. In the case of a non-party witness that has been subpoenaed prior to the entry of this Stipulation, such objections shall be raised promptly after receipt of this Stipulation. In the event that one or more such objections are raised, the witness, Parties, or the non-party, through their respective counsel, shall meet and confer in a good faith effort to resolve the objection(s) before seeking relief from the Court, in the case of a party, or, in the case of a nonparty, before the court where compliance is required pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(d). This Stipulation will be in effect until one of the parties provides notice of the need to make changes to it and agreement is reached, or the court orders that other procedures shall apply. The parties agree to make this Stipulation Exhibit 1 to the remote depositions in this case.

Stipulated to and respectfully submitted this 23rd day of September, 2024, by:

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION Attorneys for Plaintiff

By /s/ Evan Mendelson
Evan Mendelson, D.C. Bar #996765
Olivia Jerjian, D.C. Bar #1034299
Thomas Maxwell Nardini
(IL Bar #6330190)
Sana Chaudhry (NY Bar #5284807)
Anthony Saunders (NJ Bar #008032001)
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington DC 20580
(202) 326-3320; emendelson@ftc.gov
(202) 326-2749; ojerjian@ftc.gov
(202) 326-2812; tnardini@ftc.gov
(202) 326-2679; schaudhry@ftc.gov
(202) 326-2917; asaunders@ftc.gov

Colin D. A. MacDonald (WSBA # 55243) Federal Trade Commission

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING REMOTE DEPOSITIONS Case No. 2:23-cv-0932-JHC - 8

Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Washington, DC 20580 (202) 326-3320

Case 2:23-cv-00932-JHC Document 194 Filed 09/23/24 Page 9 of 11

1	915 Second Ave., Suite 2896 Seattle, WA 98174	
2	(206) 220-4474; cmacdonald@ftc.gov	
3	Attorneys for Plaintiff FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION	
4		
5	Approved and Agreed To By:	
6	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP	
7	/s/ Kenneth E. Payson	
8	Kenneth E. Payson, WSBA #26369	
9		
10	Seattle, WA 98104-1610 Telephone: (206) 622-3150	
11	Fax: (206) 757-7700 E-mail: kenpayson@dwt.com	
12	jimhoward@dwt.com	
	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP	
13	Stephen P. Anthony*	
14	Laura Flahive Wu* Laura M. Kim*	
15	John D. Graubert* 850 Tenth Street, NW	
16	Washington, DC 20001	
17	Telephone: (206) 662-5105 E-mail: santhony@cov.com	
	lflahivewu@cov.com	
18	lkim@cov.com jgraubert@cov.com	
19	36	
20	John E. Hall* 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400	
21	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 591-6855	
22	E-mail: jhall@cov.com	
	Megan L. Rodgers* 3000 El Camino Real	
23	Palo Alto, CA 94306	
24	Telephone: (650) 632-4734 E-mail: mrodgers@cov.com	
25		
26		

Case 2:23-cv-00932-JHC Document 194 Filed 09/23/24 Page 10 of 11

HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP 1 John C. Hueston* 2 Moez M. Kaba* Joseph A. Reiter* 3 523 West 6th Street, Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90014 Telephone: (213) 788-4340 4 E-mail: jhueston@hueston.com 5 mkaba@hueston.com jreiter@hueston.com 6 *admitted pro hac vice 7 Attorneys for Defendants 8 AMAZON.COM, INC., LINDSAY, GRANDINETTI, AND GHANI 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER

REGARDING REMOTE DEPOSITIONS
Case No. 2:23-cv-0932-JHC - 10

Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Washington, DC 20580 (202) 326-3320

[PROPOSED] ORDER 1 IT IS SO ORDERED. 2 3 DATED this day of September, 2024 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 6 Presented by: 8 /s/ Evan Mendelson Evan Mendelson, DC Bar #996765 Olivia Jerjian, DC Bar #1034299 Thomas Maxwell Nardini, IL Bar #6330190 10 Sana Chaudhry (NY Bar #5284807) Anthony Saunders (NJ Bar #008032001) 11 Federal Trade Commission 12 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington DC 20580 13 (202) 326-3320; emendelson@ftc.gov (Mendelson) (202) 326-2749; ojerjian@ftc.gov (Jerjian) 14 (202) 326-2812; tnardini@ftc.gov (Nardini) (202) 326-2679; schaudhry@ftc.gov (Chaudhry) 15 (202) 326-2917; asaunders@ftc.gov (Saunders) 16 Colin D. A. MacDonald, WSBA # 55243 17 Federal Trade Commission 915 Second Ave., Suite 2896 18 Seattle, WA 98174 (206) 220-4474; cmacdonald@ftc.gov (MacDonald) 19 20 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 21 22 23 24 25

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING REMOTE DEPOSITIONS Case No. 2:23-cv-0932-JHC - 11

26

Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Washington, DC 20580 (202) 326-3320